S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.2485/2007

(Poonam Bhatia vs. Sate of Rajasthan & Ors.)

Date of order : May 07, 2007

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR,J.

Mr.H.K.Jain, for the petitioner.
Mr.N.M.Lodha, Addl. Advocate General for respondent State.
Mr.Tarun Joshi, for respondent RPSC.

Issue notice.

Mr.N.M.Lodha, Additional Advocate General and Mr.Tarun Joshi accept notices for respondents State and RPSC respectively.

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is heard and being decided at the admission stage.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks direction to the respondents to re-evaluate the answer-sheets of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the RPSC and the learned Deputy Government Advocate submit that the identical controversy came to be considered by this Court in Udai Singh vs. State of Rajasthan, S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.2145/2005, which came to be decided vide order dt. 4.12.2006. While dismissing the writ petition, this Court held that there is no provision for re-evaluating the answer-sheets, which has been affirmed by the

Division Bench of this Court. In this view of the matter I do not find any merit in the writ petition.

The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed in the light of decision of this Court in Udai Singh vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (supra). There shall be no order as to costs.

(H.R.PANWAR),J.

m.asif/-